

कमला नेहरू भौतिक एवं सामाजिक विज्ञान संस्थान, सुलतानपुर—228118 Kamla Nehru Institute of Physical & Social Sciences, Sultanpur-228118 सम्बद्ध डॉ. राममनोहर लोहिया अवध विश्वविद्यालय, अयोध्या (उ0प्र0)

(विश्वविद्यालय अनुदान आयोग की स्वायत्त संस्था नैक (NAAC) द्वारा 'A' श्रेणी में मूल्यांकित) (Accredited in 'A' grade by NAAC) व्या Email_ID: principalknipss@gmail.com, Website: www.knipss.ac.in

 & Fax: 05362-240854
Mob.No.: 9415255398, 9984876699

पत्रांकः KNI / / 2021-22

दिनांकः 12/01/2021

STUDENTS' SATISFACTORY SURVEY REPORT 2020-2021

The college has an enrollment of more than 11715 students in under-graduate, post graduate and in professional courses like teacher's training, BBA and Law.

A 'Student Satisfaction Survey' was conducted online. Through the analysis of the data obtained by SSS we found that majority of the students felt that the faculty was well prepared for their class. Graphical representation of Question 03, indicated that 73.75% student felt that the faculties were able to effectively communicate with the students. They agreed that college has proper method of internal evaluation and faculty is fair in internal evaluation. Majority of the students were pleased with the faculty who they felt always mentored them in achieving their goals and agreed with the fact that the institution provides them with multiple opportunities to learn, grow and encourage them to participate in extracurricular activities. 64% of the respondents said that efforts were being made by the Overall Quality Of Teaching-Learning Processes to make them ready for the world of work. Most of the respondents agreed that the overall quality of the teaching-learning process in the institution is very good.

Graphical representation of Question 07, the institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for student revealed that majority of student agreed that Institute did not provide multiple opportunities to students to learn and grow.


Graphical representation of Question 09 revealed that majority of student agreed that Institute provide multiple opportunities to students to learn and grow.

Graphical representation of Question 18 Teachers Efforts to Inculcate Soft Skills revealed that majority of student agreed that Teachers put their best efforts to Inculcate Soft Skills.

The graphical representation of data is given below.

Students Feedback Form Total No of Participant 4534


Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
	Syllabus Covered	Below 30%	4.59
		30-54%	8.40
1		55-69%	7.74
		70-84%	21.26
		85-100%	58.01




Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
		Indifferently	1.70
		Poorly	2.36
2	Teachers Prepration	Satisfactorily	44.64
		Thoroughly	50.15
		Won't teach at all	1.15




Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
		Always effective	73.75
		Generally ineffective	2.56
3	Teachers able to communicate	Just satisfactorily	7.30
		Sometimes effective	15.66
		Very poor communication	0.73




Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
	Teacher's Approach	Excellent	59.64
		Fair	1.43
4		Good	11.12
		Poor	0.42
		Very Good	27.39




Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
		Always fair	69.81
		Sometimes unfair	4.23
2	Internal Evaluation Fairness	Unfair	0.66
		Usually fair	25.30







		Marginally	1.43
		Moderately	6.73
8	Teaching And Mentoring Process	Not at all	1.17
		Significantly	51.50
		Very well	39.17





Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
	Opportunities To Learn And Grow	Agree	35.47
		Disagree	0.95
9		Neutral	4.83
		Strongly Agree	57.81
		Strongly Disagree	0.95






Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
		Every Time	65.06
		I don't have a mentor	2.12
11		Occasionally/Sometimes	6.02
		Rarely	2.36
		Usually	24.44





Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
	Teachers Are Able To Identify Your Weaknesses	Every Time	70.51
		Never	1.65
14		Occasionally/Sometimes	5.45
		Rarely	2.78
		Usually	19.61




Strongly Agree

Strongly Disagree

60.17

0.97


Teaching Learning Process




Торіс	Criteria	%age
Institute/ Teachers Use Student	Moderate	26.97
	Not at all	1.24
	Some what	2.47
Centric Methods	To a great extent Very little	66.92
		2.40
	•	Institute/ Teachers Use Student Moderate Centric Methods Not at all Some what To a great extent




Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
	Teachers Encourage You	Agree	32.05
		Disagree	1.43
17		Neutral	4.21
		Strongly Agree	61.07
		Strongly Disagree	1.24




Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
	Teachers Efforts To Inculcate Soft Skills	Moderate	26.93
		Not at all	1.19
18		Some what	3.09
		To a great extent	66.23
		Very little	2.56



Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
		30–49%	6.93
19 Use ICT Tools		50-69%	11.16
	Use ICT Tools	70–89%	27.81
		Above 90%	45.08
		Below 29%	9.04




Sr. No.	Торіс	Criteria	%age
20 Overall Quality Of Teaching- Learning Process		Agree	29.03
	Disagree	0.64	
	• •	Neutral	4.90
		Strongly Agree	64.25
		Strongly Disagree	1.19
%age			



Teacher's Feedback Analysis

Торіс	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	DisAgree	Strongly DisAgree
Syllabus Is Suitable To The Course	37.50	55.77	5.77	0.96	0.00
Syllabus Is Need Based	36.54	57.69	4.81	0.00	0.96
Aims And Objectives Of The Syllabi Are Well					
Defined	45.19	50.00	3.85	0.00	0.96
Course Content Is Followed By Corresponding					
Reference Materials	29.81	65.38	2.88	0.96	0.96
Prescribed Books Are Available In The Library	45.19	44.23	3.85	4.81	1.92
Syllabus Has Good Balance Between Theory					
And Application	37.50	55.77	4.81	0.96	0.96
Syllabus Has Made Me Interested	46.15	52.88	0.96	0.00	1.92
Syllabus Of This Subject Increased My					
Knowledge And Perspective	42.31	56.73	0.96	0.00	1.92
Programme Of Studies Carries Sufficient	20.77	57.00	2.05	F 77	1.02
Number Of Optional Papers	30.77	57.69	3.85	5.77	1.92
books Prescribed As Reference Materials Are	33.65	59.62	2.88	1.92	1.03
Relevant Infrastructural Facilities Are Available In The	55.05	59.02	2.00	1.92	1.92
Department	40.38	57.69	0.00	0.96	0.96
Staff Canteen Is Available	25.96	44.23	5.77	17.31	6.73
Tests And Examinations Are Conducted Well In		0			
Time	46.15	51.92	0.00	0.96	0.96
Freedom To Adopt New Techniques/Strategies of					
Teaching	49.04	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.96
freedom to adopt/adapt new					
techniques/strategies of testing	49.04	47.12	3.85	0.00	0.00
Environment In The Department Is Conducive	44.23	50.96	3.85	0.00	0.96
Administration Is Teacher Friendly	50.96	41.35	6.73	0.00	0.96
Institute Provides Support For Projects And					
Research Facilities	50.00	42.31	3.85	2.88	0.96
Adequate Funding And Support For Upgrading					
Their Skills And Qualifications Is Provided	47.12	42.31	7.69	1.92	0.96
Provisions For Professional Development Are					
Non-Discriminatory And Fair	33.65	56.73	5.77	2.88	0.96



Alumni Feedback-1

Торіс	Criteria	%age
	Average	3.16
	Excellent	65.22
Rate The Courses That You Have Learnt	Good	2.37
	Very Good	29.25
	Poor	0.00
	Average	5.14
	Excellent	41.50
Infrastructure And Lab Facilities	Good	17.39
	Very Good	34.39
	Poor	1.58
	Average	3.56
	Excellent	60.47
Teaching Faculty	Good	15.81
	Very Good	19.37
	Poor	0.79
	Average	15.81
	Excellent	29.64
Canteen Facilities	Good	22.53
	Very Good	7.91
	Poor	24.11
	Average	5.53
	Excellent	45.85
Library	Good	18.58
	Very Good	27.67
	Poor	2.37

1

Торіс	Criteria	%age
	Average	12.25
	Excellent	36.76
Office staff	Good	20.55
	Very Good Poor	27.67
	Average	14.62
	Excellent	28.85
Hostel Facilities	Good	25.30
	Very Good	22.53
	Poor	8.70
	Average	5.93
	Excellent	50.20
Educational Resources	Good	15.42
	Very Good	27.27
	Poor	1.19
	Average	5.14
	Excellent	42.69
Admission Procedure	Good	18.97
	Very Good	29.64
	Poor	3.56
	Average	3.95
	Excellent	55.34
Overall Rating of the Institute	Good	12.65
	Very Good	27.27
	Poor	0.79

ר
/
_

Alumni Feedback-3

Торіс	Criteria	%age
Any Grievances	NO	83.40
	Yes	16.60
Member of Alumni Association	NO	52.96
	Yes	47.04

Alumni Feedback

Total No of Participant 253

